

Task 2 Deliverable Review Comments

Date: August 9, 2001

1. Center - Are the naming conventions (table, attributes, domain tables) going to be changed to better conform to either: (a) the current SDSFIE/FMSFIE or (b) the future transactional (which is still under development) FMSFIE naming conventions? The current naming conventions are defined in the attached document entitled "sdsfmsm200.doc". Draft naming conventions for the future transactional FMSFIE are also attached (naming conventions_0503.ppt).
2. Center - Definitions are needed for each table, attribute, domain table, and domain value.
3. Center - Will the "Application User Interface" (AUI) and "Application Report Interface" (ARI) be a project deliverable available for distribution via the CADD/GIS Technology Center's Internet website? If so, source files (script) for the AUI and ARI should be provided. What software or programming language will be used in development of the AUI and ARI? The applications should be developed in such a manner to permit future changes (e.g., naming conventions) and software upgrades.

Task 2 Deliverable Review Comments

Dates: August 10, 2001 & August 21, 2001

1. Cherry Point - As discussed during the 17 Jul 01 meeting URS will need to use another field name other than Facil_ID in the object tables since this field is used frequently in the SDS/FMS tables as the facility id.

Center - You probably need to use the attribute "envfac_id", which is a Primary Key in the SDSFIE/FMSFIE table "ehsitfac", "Environmental Regulated Facility" (i.e., A facility or other locational entity that is regulated or monitored by the Environmental Protection Agency because of environmental concerns).

2. Cherry Point - Are the federal and state reports in electronic format or are they in hardcopy formats? The next obvious step is to create the required report outputs.
3. Cherry Point - MS Access user interface. With the cost of ASP implementation it does not seem cost effective to create an interface for one person. Based on our current experience with the Facilities Project Database there would have to be a large audience to justify the expense of development and sustainment.
4. Cherry Point - Do we need all the Foreign key links such as Inst_ID, Facil_ID, Meta_ID, etc. that are in the standard SDS/FMS table?

Center - Yes, if the additional tables are being designed to be included within the current SDSFIE/FMSFIE data model, the standard SDSFIE/FMSFIE Foreign Key joins should be included in the tables (meta_id, media_id, facil_id, & instln_id).

5. Cherry Point - Regarding Center Question 3 (above). This project was not scoped to create an "Application User Interface" or "Application Report Interface". Perhaps this requirement could be included in Phase II of the project.