

Mike Rector, St. Louis District, (314) 331-8251

1. The level number assignments that we agreed to at the meeting in New Orleans are not in the draft. Actually, there are no level number assignments shown, but the order doesn't seem to match with what I recall. I'd like to see what level is intended for each item.

Response: The Center is currently assigning level numbers to level/layers names. The Center wanted to wait and see what levels/layers were accepted before going through the tedious process of assigning level numbers. With a couple of exceptions (which were accidentally left out), the level/layer scheme for a Civil Works Plan matches that developed in New Orleans. The order within categories for some levels may have been rearranged because AutoCAD alphabetizes layer names (this will help users who are searching for a particular layer).

2. Under the Grading subsection, the annotation for cut & fill slopes shown on layer V-GRAD-SLOP/V-GRADSLM should be on a separate level/layer from the cut & fill slopes symbology. We often use the civil/site drawing as a reference in other drawings, and use the symbology but turn the text off.

Response: Concur, the annotation for cut and fill slopes will be moved to a separate level/layer. Also the top/toe slopes will be moved to a separate level/layer, as decided at the New Orleans meeting.

3. The levels/layers for Profiles, Cross Sections, Reference Symbols and for Details have been left out. These need to be added.

Response: Concur, the levels for profiles and reference symbols will be added back in. However, in order to make this discipline concur with the methodology followed by other disciplines, separate model file types will be created for cross sections and details. The Center would welcome any input on what levels/layers would be required for these model file types.